

Do you believe in Global Disarmament?

A series of Essays

By

Indana Simonde

ISBN: 9781704956305

## Introduction

The Charter of the United Nations signed on the 26<sup>th</sup> of June 1945, of which the honourable and just Acting Secretary General, Gladwynn Jebb, who was in charge of the U.N.O from October 1945 to February 1946 was historic for its day in creating the United Nations Organisation through a system of legislation that superseded the League of Nations. As the Charter came into force on the 24<sup>th</sup> of October 1945 with a view to instituting national and international sovereignty in the hearts and minds of all peoples, the aim of the Charter was multifaceted and multitudinous in the spread of its initial remit and scope. Equally it allowed for the unilateral and multilateral, multilingual dialogue in which the aim is, as with back in 1945, to reduce the role and scope of any instances of war for any and “*all succeeding generations*”.

This came forward in the history of the world as World War II had only recently drawn to a conclusion with complete devastation and the onset of reconstruction of multiple nations and a genuine concern for the populations of all nations. The Charter attempted at the time to “*reaffirm faith in fundamental rights, in the dignity of the human person, in the equal rights of men and women and of nations large and small..*” of which in recent history events played out in the public eye of the media have mirrored the nature of historical international altercations.

Human memory is such that despite the role of former Secretary Generals, such as Ban Ki-Moon and Koffi Annan, who both attempted to champion the rights of citizens nationally and internationally, whilst safeguarding the rights of sovereign nations through their direction and leadership. They both attempted to, in their directorship of the organisation to alter history such that the goals in 1945 would be followed through the trends as set by the ‘living – breathing instruments of the law’ through the vessels and organs of the United Nations which were set out in the Charter, such as the Security Council and

Legislative creation and enforcement of peacekeeping through multiple forces on a multitude of fronts. The work they undertake, varies as one of three supra-national organisations that police and ultimately 'could' and technically should be schools in Government throughout the world for everyone, anti-corruption infrastructures and general international governance without bias or discrimination.

Would Marx, Descartes, Plato or even Jesus have stood up to the intelligentsia of the day within modernity through to post-modernity in the form of social order, screaming blasphemy towards the modernity of the age as a result of the continuity of the modern thirst within both the public(s) of the world and all people generally who sign up to fight war? For every intellectual ideal and actual ideology, there is a structure and infrastructure that promote(s) and prompted individuals to listen to the introduction of the new age in the format of a differing form of linguistic discourse which is the blessing of every democratic state.

The ability to communicate as opposed to bullying through social coercion as a result of armed conflict and the potential for human rights abuses and attacks on the people is an abuse of the power and education that people genuinely require. If in the modern age a person is assassinated, is it not the danger of the history we so desperately wish to avoid repeating itself. In the same breath, the death of all people is also the nature of attempting to avoid the end of all civilisation through strong and well defined multifaceted dialogues that should be constantly composed of the same political and social will to change the nature of the world.

The wastage of the world, through social economy and lack of education along with a lack of a plan to allow for social health care within infrastructures and nations that do not at present have them, along with safe housing, consistent jobs and a social contract that allows for individuals to live and work in safety and peace is a travesty in the modern age (without attempting to judge my betters).

The words we use as a society define, as a nation, all people(s) everywhere and the fact that only one nation was allowed to vote on Global Disarmament as opposed to every free

citizen and person throughout the world is a problem that has only one solution.

In giving the world a United Nations Headquarters in every sovereign and equal nation, thus opening the doors to the United Nations University, the organisation would then work towards all students being equally educated. The system would allow all people and students to become proficient in a trade or skill or be able to learn from primary education through to tertiary education, especially where there is no infrastructure for the same. This would show individuals how to succeed outside of solely manufacturing industries in order to allow for societal upheaval through social and cultural mobility.

Despite all these mentioned issues and solutions to problems (through a United Nations Headquarters in every nation that is a part of the UN system allowing for more staff from more host nations to participate in the democratic interchange of every nation, allowing for the ideology of reparations to become a reality not from one nation to another, but for all nations) there is still hope. We currently live in a world where global leaders are, rather than guided and directed, are assassinated through the eyes of the media and fail to seek justice unless in retaliation, so how do you rebuild justice through just means in every nation? Education from primary to tertiary levels, despite the systems of control and institutionalisation of commercialised and gradated interchange between consumer and merchant sectors; as opposed to forcing the poor and the destitute as well as the wealthy to continue to work towards, and for charity throughout a global populous.

The heavily congested roads of Great Britain in comparison to Africa or India all have to give up the need to use fossil fuels almost completely with a view to saving the environment despite the lead being taken by Western Nations. Ultimately this would lead to civil and social upheaval as opposed to unrest as a result of something that is being done with which to change and challenge the current social order without rebellion from a civil populous.

Education is a battle; enlightenment is the war. Nietzsche defined himself as wise in an age in which a lot of European ideals and idealism defined the nature of the perfect citizen. In society there is no person equivalent to the perfect citizen. All people generally attempt to have a value, both for their lives and for others, where they are civil and social citizens working to defend and upheave the society they live in through sustaining the moral code and laws of any and every nation. All of this with a view to all that has come before them in the form of religion and legislation. Nietzsche as with Descartes and Desmoulins, Hume and even Adam Smith along with Trotsky and Wilhelm Wundt all defined the idea of peaceful coexistence through philosophical and existentialist theorem and ideology(ies). Each of them caused questions of the self and the role of reasoning through logic in the form of self-determinism prior to the advent of the nuclear age.

Developments in organised and ordered entropic chaos to social order, or rather, the social order of the day, faced opposition to anarchy through the idea of peaceful protest as a testament to societal achievements in comparison to rioting and looting of streets which have of late been the cause of enquiries and judicial hearings. Perceptions of these developments in reality are the dream of a Utopia in which some live the peace that others dream of. There are orphans worldwide all of whom are struggling with the death of their parents through various illnesses such as H.I.V. or Ebola or even atomic, *non-nuclear bombs*.

Marx, who debated and argued alongside Hegel that the knowledge and lengthy articulation of French revolution would lead to a revolution of the culture of thought and education. The clarity with which he thought and spelled out the need to educate the public(s) prior to the Russian Revolution led to a bloodbath and change in the social structure of the nation within a matter of years which spanned nearly 100 years. This created, as well as

enshrined the former United Soviet States of Russia as a super-power on a global level.

Research as with the pillars of constitutional law and the role of constitutions (both written and unwritten) in defining the nature of a need for peace, of which all nations are still to this day learning from, as a route to societal change. Whilst Marx, who was followed by Trotsky as the leader in heart, soul and mind, of many a Russian establishment, led to a change he could likely not have foreseen in the past, with current social morals and methods, people will likely have learnt from the lessons of the past.

As such, this has allowed a globalised perspective with which to challenge individual and group dynamics through the very same education that is still limiting how and what people learn about with the result leading to capitalisation within industry and engineering, service and religion. All of this transpired as opposed to the faith with which was placed in the hands of Eleanor Roosevelt and her team of political legislators during the design and fabrication of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, as mirrored by the Human Rights Act(s) of the United Kingdom and the Scotland Act which has Human Rights bound inherently within it.

Shakespear (original spelling) was tasked with one sole task, in his day, as with Walter Scott and Robert Burns; this task was and is to this day envied the world over. That task was to entertain through educated principles using language that many would have, at the time exemplified as culturally significant. Just how culturally significant the role of poverty, both within the borders of the United Kingdom, as well as outside of the United Kingdom, is clearly a point of cultural and social significance. More than that, it is **the** problem of the day.

With no food for some and not others as a result of poor political will, capital and infrastructure, social welfare or education for an entire populous, how can a person or sovereign nation, in the eyes of the public ever be viewed as anything other than less than themselves when benchmarking is put in place. If

there is no dignity or pride in the very things they deserve as rights of which, these very same rights have been explained to be inalienable regardless of infrastructure. The struggle of an age pronounced a story of the end of romanticism and the beginning of an age of enlightenment of which we all in the western world have learnt from the very many trades that are of importance such as the political economy of art. The dawn in the early age of the 19<sup>th</sup> century of mass produced works of art in multiple formats and also the age of the printing press and the golden age of cinema in the form of silent and moving pictures highlighted the freedom(s) of the press of which we now live with as social mediums that guide all, including political will and motivation.

Society on a global level has a major hurdle to overcome as a route to entertaining the idea of a spacial traversal and multi-planetary colonisation with regards to the sharing and setting up of information networks that will allow access to the combined nature of human knowledge and existence. For example, the entire internet passed to the future Martians as a given form of social and cultural dialogue with a view to allowing and increasing the survivability of the entire Mars missions as a given. Equally, supply and logistics of food and the potential for scientific discovery of which every nation likely would have a vested interest, along with every civilian and citizen of every nation through their shared ability to process and understand information despite access to the very people who will be travelling to a new frontier for the first time. But the question I wished to pose was not one of the technicalities of space, but rather of the repetition of history, with Africa as one continent that is laid to ruin, it's citizens skewed in their approach(es) to tackling poverty through and with the support of institutions.

Rather it is a question of cataloguing institutional failings through a lack of learning or feeling (direction of an unemployed global populous) with regard to the alleviation of isolationist solitude in a world all too eager to move on to the next fad, with hyper-normalisation and overly technical fields all too readily discarded despite the lack of readily available resources. Through democracy as opposed to led solely by specific principles suitable only to one nation, as a one size fits all political discipline. This is important not because of lack of respect for the people who deserve it the most, but rather for those people who receive it the least. As such the ultimate question in an age of missions is simple, what can you learn with the pious devotion to sound doctrine and dedication?

My own understanding of Global Disarmament begins by disarming both the public(s) of the world whilst educating them

of the role of enforcement officials in creating the very same for the sake of youth(s).

As a world super power, the apparent strength of a nation rests in the ability to enforce international law and police the streets, the seas and protect foreign and domestic nationals world-wide. Beyond this remit, military force begins and ends with destruction as has been witnessed of late. Fear of reality, of individuality, religion, wealth and power are likely factors that many are on a day to day basis unaware due to the tensions between societal groups. Ultimately, the reality of loss and deprivation of justice, social expression and repressed memories of happier days have clouded judgement and provided restitution in equal measures with a view to aiming towards and alluding to positively social, as opposed to anti-social behaviours and mannerisms.

In this regard, I refer to obsessive behaviour with reference to academic principles that, whilst apparently, straightforward, are debased and dogged with a far more difficult ideological precept. I have made note previously to the question of Global Disarmament with no route to creating the very same, of which it requires the reigns of state and a great deal of trust from the international community as well as a willingness to reduce the nature of armed conflict. A former British leader spoke of fixing the '*Broken Society*' we currently inhabit, whilst other devolved governments attempted to define educated principles to equality and societal, as well as democratic ideologies through parliamentary interchange. The truth is, power was restricted whilst local and national government attempted to navigate treacherous waters with a view to alleviating poverty nationwide and globally as well as fighting one war after another.

It is highly doubtful that warfare is an enjoyable exercise in the strength and valour of the losing side of any war. As such the pursuit and general make-up of a war resides not solely in the hearts and minds of those who fight wars, win and (or) lose them but rather in the hearts and minds of the people that the soldiers leave behind, their families, their friends and their children; of

whom, many may well be left to fend for themselves, or become soldiers themselves, only to fight a war for the very same resources, people and families of grateful or ungrateful individuals who fail to understand the role of Disarmament efforts internationally. This is as a result of the fact that we as a combined civilisation are nonchalant (or seemingly uncaring about a situation in which, the powerful are able to control vast resources, materials and weapons of war, and refuse to allow any individuals or peoples access to the same resources through their own cultural or social development. As a result of this, wars are now currently being fought within civilian populations or otherwise for the very same reasons that have been outlined as above) hence the question in the title, ***Do you believe in Global Disarmament?*** All of this is played out both within the public(s) eyes as well as in private operating theatres whilst children are become the victims of atrocities that bare the hallmarks of warfare nationally and internationally, with children and their families struggling to feed themselves or house themselves.

As one man, in a very limited capacity, I can only use the words I carry as weapons of peace to aim at the hearts and minds of individuals in the hopes that they might be a guide. Where they are found to be useless, there is no point; but the aim of this exercise is not to enrich every nation with the latest in technological weaponry, or to frustrate the efforts of the people who make a difference to Disarmament and peaceful negotiation. Rather the aim is to look at varying aspects of society and define where there is a problem, how that problem is fixed is down to the individual; be it through regaining lost trust, overcoming intolerance and divergent trains of thought, or simply by opening mature and well reasoned communications with regards to how to stop fighting a war that has taken near on centuries to play out to the current frame and field of fortunate or not so fortunate individuals.

The idea (or ideology) that there are always winners and losers in life is a fallacy, a societal construction as with all things human in which our understanding of how a country operates is

limited by our shared or combined lack of an understanding of how international and national politics, dialogue and tactful negotiation is conducted with a view to limiting any and all damage to the wider field of public interaction and enhancing any future transactions, negotiations and trade on behalf of the public, through the office(s) of government and executive control.

This is of importance in light of the nature of modernity and the rapid pace of change both globally and between nation states that all happen to operate on differing clock based time-zone's that, as with Gene Roddenberry's creation (*Star Trek*) doesn't take into account the fact that every star is a doomsday clock. The atomic, quantum and further super-atomic structures known as stars all carry with them the potential to destroy entire planets through the concept of quantum implosion which would lead to the very same reaction as with the centre of the solar system. Over time, with a lack of education and the thirst to thrive both on Earth and further afield, all there will be is Religion and academia for those who were blessed to have the very same academia handed down to them from generation to generation as a result of societal progression. The question begins and ends with the public (i.e. does and *infinite* or unending war for the extermination of any person or peoples outside of the state or even within the state who are persons of interest sound acceptable for all of our children, their children and their children after them in a form of religious 'exile from heaven' as it were? And if that does sound acceptable en masse, are we the lost that Jesus Christ or any number of individuals of a religious nature were attempting to save, or was that a separate war for a separate generation in which all the *supposed* crimes as detailed in the bible failed to make an actual issue for modern day social anthropologists who study society?). Regardless of the expression, realised or otherwise, of expression of racism, classism, elitism, ageism, sexism or any number of societal differentiators that still point towards a world that lives in hope of a saviour or people(s) who all look towards the stars in the

hope that there is a heaven or a saviour despite all that the world has had to struggle through in order to allow societal change and progress to become a reality.



It took a very long and lonely time, soul searching internationally between national and international borders, outside of churches and peoples homes and places of work. A very long time, it took, for me to find God, and still I fear there is a long way for me to go as with us all. That is not to say that there is a problem with the way in which we as a people(s) worship and glorify the Deity(ies) we support with a view to allowing our entrance into what once was thought to be eternal life in a kingdom known in modernity as Heaven, though once it would have been called, Valhalla, Elysium or even simply Earth.

Latin Bibles and a thirst for more knowledge with which to control my own life in such a way as to be seen as upright, to have the confidence to continue holding my head up in public, where there is nothing bar the shame of a life in which a lack of anything bar assumed wealth has led me down a personal road, of which I have struggled ultimately. This same knowledge has led me to believe that where there is a will (as in motivation or will power) there is a way (to achieve the impossible). Despite a movement towards independence amongst other systems of governance, there is always hope. That hope is in the continuation of fellowship of good people who work towards promoting and serving communities in a positive manner. The hope is in peoples shared and divergent beliefs. It is in the eyes of ourselves and our children. The hope is that they have food, clothes, shelter, transport, education and of all things are able to remain educated rather than solely focusing on attempting to alleviate their boredom through light entertainment.

Beyond this, deeper thoughts of freedom of which every human being at one point or another has had to struggle with in at the least thought, through to liberality, individualism and equality are everyday enjoyed by kids and adults alike. Regardless of whether they are friends or strangers, the end of an argument can easily be resolved with eye contact, a firm hand shake and some polite words of comfort.

Forgiveness is more powerful than eternal domination or radioactive contamination of limited habitable space on a planet that is already overpopulated and under educated globally. This is the only reason to continue fighting for peace using nothing more than words as a continuous and unending form of weaponless weaponry. And when words cease to hold their influence or sway a struggling crowd, then the images from the 1940s of Hiroshima and Nagasaki should be more than sufficient even in the modern age to highlight the barbarism of warfare and the need for an end to all forms of war nationally and internationally.

Time, as with space is beyond quantifiable as at present, though it is becoming more readily accessible as a result of human ingenuity and the ability to calculate using multiple computers and computer programs as with the initial role of Charles Babbage's computer. As such, I can't comprehend all of time and space as easily as I would like to, in which every single life that is currently existent, will likely be existent in months and years to come or preceding that that has ever existed on this planet.

The saying "no man is an island" may well be true. Equally the saying "a ship that sails backwards will never see the sun rise" may be true as well. But the man, who travels on a backwards sailing ship may see the sun set on a world that forever remains in darkness as though the bellicose nature of war could be understood without the courage, bravery and sacrifice offered as a service by others; men and women greater (infinitely) than myself.

With that in mind, I thought I would conclude this monologue with a simple statement. Whilst countless generations and lives have been touched in the negativity of warfare and dictatorships of the right, the left and centre-left, before the dictators we each grow up learning about were mass murderers, they were people who could have been turned towards the nature of peace. Money doesn't matter if you aim to spend it on new and more exciting ways to injure or murder others regardless of art, culture and please for help or salvation.

Albert Einstein and his deeper thoughts of freedom, liberality and individualism as well as a system he devised through his writing with a view to altering and educating the establishment of the day still stands as a testament as with all physical and natural science as the achievements of human civilisation in the form of academic discipline. For me, forgiveness is a more powerful symbol than eternal damnation at the hands of a gun. Einstein could have saved Israel by trying his hardest at time when emotions and fears were running high whilst individuals were creating a new place to live for the vast majority of the Jewish population, by trying his hardest to end a war that is still going on to this day. Martin Luther King and John F. Kennedy could have been friends in a civil or rather political relationship, were it not for the organisations and schemes of the day that limited the ability of forward progression for a while.

Today is a day like any other; only, you are able to read peace, love and kindness into the world, just as I pray and write it towards all peoples of nuclear or non-nuclear states 2019 years after Christ was born, lived and died on his planet. So why believe in peace? Because the individuals who allowed Jo Cox, the British M.P to be murdered, or who forgot the green parties aim to create a Greener, more environmental and sustainable world forgot someone else very important to the world.

Noam Chomsky is credited as having stated:-

“Anarchism is a radical scepticism about structures of domination, authority and hierarchy throughout human life, from the patriarchal family to imperialism.”

The difference between greed and wealth is not defined through the extremism of liberty, freedom being the choice of an individual or group of communities that are able to save and change a global populous from the dangers of what in many cases can be seen as radicalised thought and behaviour. Poverty in it's rawest viewpoint, regardless of liberty and (freedoms) of any state or group of individuals is more than simply a lack of wealth; greed being a corruption of a person(s) ability to justify consumption of 'consumer products' usually referred to as goods. Over consumption of any good is equally a part of the anarchic corruption even where as part of status symbolism, whether enriched or less than; must focus on the health and education of all of society on a global level with a view to moving rather than challenging the rule of either law or society. This is such that the voiceless are give a voice with regards to progressive and effective upheaval of '*constitutional impoverishment*' outside of '*apparent enlightenment of thought and politicised consciousness*'.

The institutionalisation of education without bias has elevated the need absolutely of political correctness in order to overcome oppression, coercion and repression of political, economic and social aspects of society throughout time. As such, the only way in which to halt the imprisonment of free thought, both organically and laterally with regards to the centralised decentralisation of control infrastructures that have led to the corruption of society, pre-industrialisation is and must be the very goal of a common good. i.e. the environment and the hole in the ozone, enforcement of the non-proliferation of nuclear

weaponry and new greener advancements such as solar, wind and wave technologies must be harnessed in order to allow for the salvation of life on this planet.

More broadly speaking, rights, that lead to the complication and over analysis of thought and behaviour must focus on salvation of the character, humour and love and heart or rather soul of a country through its governance and participation in light of the nature of systems of authority of the same. Just how this is to be accomplished must be through actual and unequivocal communication directed towards the very people that require the help the most (a dynamic thought that means no one person is constantly in need of the same level of support, thus overcoming corruption of heart and soul over the lifetime of every single person).

The powerful nature of transformation of a person through the change(s) in life as a result of growing from childhood to adulthood require one simple and common thread as shared by all life. It is that we are human, we all have let the world fall to ruin, through our over reliance on knowledge and consumption of the productive sources of any nation. Judgement of the self at all times in every person allows for a standard and ideal to aim for; in the end. It is all of us that make humanity. It is all of us that cast the world into shadow and cost the world it's humanity; and all of us who have been enslaved by the desperation to make a proactive and all defining difference.

Salvation is not the death of a culture or art, it is not race or bias, it is not class and neither is it anything apart from every living creature on the planet. Faith is the belief in the better nature of all of humanity. God is

Enlightenment as a process under construction

Enlightenment as a process under construction

Enlightenment as a process under construction

Proof